

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,043	07/14/2006	Timo Niemi	47121-5020-00-US 1710	
55694 7590 04/30/2007 DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH (DC) 1500 K STREET, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			KRECK, JOHN J	
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			NAME DAMES	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
•	10/586,043	NIEMI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	John Kreck	3673		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we have to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro-			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 				
Application Papers .				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

The preliminary amendment has been entered.

Claims 1-7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bessinger, et al. (U.S. Patent number 4,884,847) in view of Kuroda (JP4-95902) and LeBegue (U.S. Patent number 5,295,733).

Bessinger describes an arrangement for data transmission in a mine comprising a mine information system (e.g. 68,76a); a mining vehicle information system (e.g. 66,70,72,74); at least one supply cable (76).

The device described by Bessinger differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to include the reel, and associated means for connecting to the reel, rotating elements, etc; and although Bessinger describes bi-directional transmission, Bessingeer lacks the cable including first and second data transmission cables.

Official Notice is taken of the following fact: it is well known to use reels on mining vehicles, in order to prevent the cable from tangling or wearing on sharp rocks.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,043

Art Unit: 3673

It is well known to provide two unidirectional cables when bi-directional transmission is desired, in order to improve data flow; LeBegue provides evidence that this is known in the prior art

Kuroda describes a reel with means for connecting, rotating connection elements (see figure 3) on the center axis, rotor, and stator (near4). Accordign to the JPO abstract, the reel as shown by Kuroda reduces the possibility of twisting of the fiber.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Bessinger device to have included a reel, and to have included two unidirectional cables.

It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a reel as taught by Kuroda, including means for connecting, rotating connection elements on the center axis, rotor, and stator as called for in claim 1; in order to prevent the cable twisting.

With regards to claim 2: mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960)

Regarding claim 4: Kuroda describes the rotating fiber connectors.

Regarding independent claim 5: Although the claim is nominally drawn to a reel: the claim includes limitations (e.g. "connected to ...mining vehicle") that make it clear that the scope of the claim includes a mining vehicle, not merely a reel. Bessinger

Application/Control Number: 10/586,043

Art Unit: 3673

describes an arrangement for data transmission in a mine comprising a mining vehicle information system (e.g. 66,70,72,74); at least one supply cable (76).

The device described by Bessinger differs from the claimed invention in that it fails to include the reel, and associated means for connecting to the reel, rotating elements, etc; and although Bessinger describes bi-directional transmission, Bessingeer lacks the cable including first and second data transmission cables.

Official Notice is taken of the following fact: it is well known to use reels on mining vehicles, in order to prevent the cable from tangling or wearing on sharp rocks.

It is well known to provide two unidirectional cables when bi-directional transmission is desired, in order to improve data flow; LeBegue provides evidence that this is known in the prior art

Kuroda describes a reel with means for connecting, rotating connection elements (see figure 3) on the center axis, rotor, and stator (near4). According to the JPO abstract, the reel as shown by Kuroda reduces the possibility of twisting of the fiber.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Bessinger device to have included a reel, and to have included two unidirectional cables.

It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included a reel as taught by Kuroda, including means for connecting, rotating connection elements on the center axis, rotor, and stator as called for in claim 5; in order to prevent the cable twisting.

Regarding claim 7: Kuroda describes the rotating fiber connectors.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,043

Art Unit: 3673

2. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bessinger, Kuroda; and Lebegue as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Takeya (JP 58-31872) and Kinnear (U.S. Patent number 3,912,352).

The Bessinger, Kuroda and Lebegue references fail to describe the claimed supply cable including an electrical cable, and the drum having power transmission means.

Takeya describes a system which combines fiber communication cables and power cable into a single cable, thus teaching the supply cable including an electrical cable. One of ordinary skill in the art would have understood the advantages of a single cable: e.g. to reduce the risk of tangles.

Kinnear describes a reel having power transmission means.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the Bessinger device to have included the supply cable including an electrical cable, and the drum having power transmission means as called for in claims 3 and 6.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kondo (JP59-182405) and JP 9-33754) describe similar reels. Mayercheck, et al. (U.S. Patent number 5,161,857) describes a mining machine with multiple reels.

3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Kreck whose telephone number is 571-272-7042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 530am-2pm; Fri: telework.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on 571-272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-277-1009.

John Kreck Primary Examiner Art Unit 3673

25 April 2007